

discussed here. The only problem we have is the employers role in providing for that, and right now that needs to be dealt with, and this amendment does that. There is an amendment by Senator Hannibal that I am willing to support. It clarifies it be knowingly, and so I would like to see the amendment reconsidered, and then we can amend it, deal with it. I would ask for support for the reconsideration.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. The question is the motion to reconsider. Those in favor of that motion please vote aye, opposed nay. Please record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to reconsider.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Motion prevails. We are back to the Wesely amendment. Senator Wesely, would you like to just brief us as to the amendment, itself?

SENATOR WESELY: Okay, again, it is on page 1158 of the Journal. It deals with the problem in the current statute that the business responsible for training isn't now held responsible under the statute, and that currently what we would provide for is a 500 to 5,000 dollar fine, first offense, and then 5,000 or more, second or subsequent offense, but there is a waiver provision the department allows for to come into compliance so they wouldn't have to assess the fine, and in addition, Senator Hannibal has an amendment that I think would take care of further concerns. That is what we are talking about.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you, sir. Mr. Clerk, you have an amendment.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Hannibal would move to amend Senator Wesely's amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The Chair recognizes Senator Hannibal.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and members of the Legislature. Yes, the amendment is an amendment that I just filed with the Clerk, but it is a very simple amendment. It adds one word, and if you are interested in the amendment at all, it is on the page 2 of the Wesely amendment that says "Any business entity which engages...", right now I am inserting the word "which knowingly engages in an asbestos project..." Now