session and capable of transacting business, I propose to sign and I do sign LR 267 and LR 268. Mr. Clerk, LB 579.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Read LB 579 on Final Reading.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is, shall LB 579 pass? Those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, please.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Record vote read. See pages 1207-08 of the Legislative Journal.) The vote is 42 ayes, 0 nays, 1 present and not voting, 6 excused and not voting, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: LB 579 passes. LB 830.

CLERK: Mr. President, I have a motion on the desk. Senator Wesely would move to return LB 830 to Select File for a specific amendment, the amendment being to strike the enacting clause.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The Chair recognizes Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and members, I apologize to Senator Peterson. I haven't had a chance to run back on the Final Reading, having looked at some of these bills as they come up. The question...I have some questions of Senator Peterson. I was just trying to review this bill when it was on consent and it kind of moved before I really had a chance to look at it again off of Select File. And all I would like to know is, in looking at this, it says that from now on if you have excess land, state land, and it's not anticipated to be used for maybe two years or less, that instead of having the Legislature handle a bill to allow that land to be sold or leased, or whatever, it could all be handled now administratively by the Department of Administrative Services. And I guess I'm wondering, Senator Peterson, why we want to change that. Why does the Legislature not need to be involved in that? And is that the way we now handle buildings? I just am curious about the reasoning and I would like to hear from you what (interruption).

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Peterson.

SENATOR PETERSON: Senator Wesely, it's my understanding that...I guess you're referring to the fact that when we want to