

enhance because we have an opportunity to service that aircraft, to provide training for individuals on that aircraft in an area and a facility such as this. The auctions, themselves, ultimately they bring hundreds of thousands of people into the state to participate in these auctions. The auction, as you may have read about in the paper, is going to consist of a large auction house out of New York who would come and conduct the auction dealing with large corporate aircraft and, in many cases, we are talking about multiday event. Much of the aircraft that would be flown in would be, like I say, serviced here. There would be people here for several days. It is almost an event in and of itself. Again, there is no revenue lost by virtue of this particular approach simply because it is very easy to circumvent. You'd simply just have a pilot deliver the plane to that person's residence rather than having it picked up here, and would follow very closely, like I say, to the current automobile registration. The key factor, I suspect, on this would be the ability for Nebraska to compete with other states for these sites. Additionally, there is also an opportunity that is around the corner that Nebraska is bidding or a Nebraska company is bidding on right now, which would be the manufacture of or the final assembly of an aircraft, another major economic enterprise for the State of Nebraska, of which they probably will not be in competition for that plant without a 10-day flyaway rule, because when you are talking about selling a million dollar aircraft, it is certainly going to be located in this state where a person can sell that aircraft, train on that aircraft, service that aircraft, where they are not exposed to the sales tax. So it would also open that door for Nebraska staying in competition for such an endeavor for a manufacture of aircraft assembly itself. So, with that, I offer the amendment to LB 965.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Discussion on the Conway amendment, Senator Hall, followed by Senator Crosby.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. President, and members. The amendment that Senator Conway offers to 965 is one that was talked about before the...well, in the Revenue Committee, not before the Revenue Committee, in the form of a bill that we heard this year. It does change the statutes, as he stated. We move from S to T now in terms of exemptions, so we just...we add one more to the list, but he has appropriately, I think, pointed out the issue that it does not in any way affect those individuals who would purchase aircraft that would be