

March 5, 1990

over there and view those tapes of the low-level radioactive waste meeting. At that time, that was held in Auburn, if I recall. We've had those same kinds of tapes available. I've sat at home on a weekend, it took me about five hours to go through them, but I did that. I went through all those tapes on the other meeting that was held, this time in Nuckolls County. We have had tapes available through the Natural Resources Committee that would let us also view the sites that are already in operation in other parts of the country. So, now we've had discussion on the floor of the whole body for going on, I suppose, three and a half hours shortly here. But I want to make it very clear that the reason I vote is because I think that you all ought to have the chance to discuss this, rediscuss it, repeat what you've said 16 times, if you want to, so that we all can say we feel the conversation was adequate. But, to me, the main concern that we have here, number one, is that Dr. Thorson is only a part of this whole process we find ourselves in. Senator Landis said it well, before we adjourned at noon. He said, this is only one part of the process. We have elected, we don't have any choice anyway, we are in the middle of this, and so this is one step in that whole process which has been going on for a number of years now. And I want to make it very clear, something that has only been alluded to by Senator Schmit this morning, when he said that we have had responses to questions given to us by Dr. Thorson.

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR SMITH: Many of these questions were questions that I posed at the hearing that we had, and then were reworded by our committee counsel, and questions added to that. We have responses to the questions. So it's not as though we haven't talked about shared liability many, many times. I will have to say I have one little concern, and that is, I mean it's one...this is one of the concerns that I have, and that is that I don't think that Dr. Thorson really has addressed what I felt was his role in addition to being what I call an information provider as being one who provides information through the mail, over the telephone or whatever. I want to...I think that's something that we need to require, that he does see as his role, his responsibility to be out at the site in periodic meetings, and being with the people themselves. Now, I know this is difficult, because it was tough seeing the way these people are grilled when they were in our committee. It would be tough to go out there, but that's a part of the role that I think he's