

March 5, 1990

we expect, what agenda we expect our negotiator to go forward with. And, unfortunately, we're looking at the debate on who the negotiator will be, to involve the question of what should the instructions for our person be. That, to me, is what we can most profitably spend our time on, and I have some suggestions along those lines. First, frankly, with the vote of the people, I'm not sure that we can pull out of the compact and, in fact, be consistent with the vote of the people that was taken. My own notion is we should not go forward with the suggestion of pulling out of the compact, although I know that's Senator McFarland's argument. I do have some suggestions on how we should come about our agenda, and some of them are positive, and some of them are negative. First, it should not be done just in the executive offices. The development of our agenda should not be just a matter for the executive branch to do. Secondly, it should not be done without sampling the opinions in Boyd County, with on-site discussions with those people, sifting through those arguments for the ones that are perhaps as irrational as John Weihing might refer to them, to get down to the nubs of the issues that are valid and need to be addressed. Third, we need to sift through to find the ones that have genuine value for protection, those, I think, are first in the area of liability and, second, in the area of health. If I needed to give some affirmative suggestions, I would suggest that upon the appointment, as I'm sure it will happen, of Norm Thorson that a three-party group begin discussions of what we want Norm Thorson to do for us. One of those parties is the executive branch. But the second party should be the legislative branch. We have been valuable in this discussion. We have given vent for this discussion where others have had doors closed, and this body has a continuing expertise, responsibility and value to play. The third part of that discussion, I think, should involve county officials in Boyd County, certainly, but Nuckolls and Nemaha, I think, as well, to again provide us with input from local people for the fears and concerns they have to adjust on the agenda we intend to go forward with. Unfortunately, we cannot say, as Senator McFarland says, let's simply stop the process now, let's halt it. It continues without us, if we don't go forward. So what we need to do is design how we intend to go forward in the process. The first step should be the appointment of Norm Thorson. But, at the same time, this body should declare its intention that the agenda for our representative be, in part, our doing, in conference with the executive branch, but also in conference with local officials. To jointly arrive at the agenda, we should demand from the other participants in the