

March 5, 1990 .

assurances that we're going to pursue that, and yet there was concern expressed about, well, we shouldn't put this in law because it might affect how the other states would react. And, frankly, I'm getting really tired of us responding to what other states want and seemingly putting other states' interests ahead of Nebraska's. I don't sit in on those meetings any more than any of you do, so I don't know what kinds of negotiations go on. I don't know what the conversation is. I don't know what the limitations are. We've been wrestling, trying to get up off our backs, it seems to me, ever since we were...ever since we got into this compact. And I don't know that there is anybody out there that is a good enough wrestler to get us up off our backs. We're in a real jam. But I would like to at least make a few suggestions in terms of trying to get us to a point where this process does a better job than I think maybe we've done to date in terms of protecting Nebraska's interest, and also addressing the very legitimate concerns of communities who are saying, we just don't trust this process. And I think that is what Senator Dierks and Senator Morrissey are echoing is what they are hearing from those communities. I think there needs to be some consideration given to amendments to the compact, such as what some other states have done. A lot of other states have more than one voting member on the compact. Southwest Compact has one voting member from the host county. The Central Midwest Compact, that's Illinois and Kentucky, have two voting members from the host state, and one nonvoting member from the host county. The Northeast, the host state gets two voting members. We have a really undesirable situation in this compact, in my opinion, as Nebraska, if you compare us to the kinds of voting capabilities other states have. We've got one person that is in there representing us. And I would suggest to you that they cannot possibly cover all the bases that have to be covered. Furthermore, I'd like to see aggressive commitment from the Governor that she's going to encourage Dr. Thorson to move ahead on this shared liability issue. It's unclear to me, after looking at the compact language, that we need to pass a bill. I'm not sure that we have to pass the bill, but what he needs is a very clear message from us and from the Governor, get in there and do it, get in there and negotiate tough and don't give away the farm. And, if we've given something away, get it back. We still hold the cards, I think, necessary to accomplish that. And so I guess I can't vote against Dr. Thorson, frankly, because of what I feel is my role early on in recommending him, and I still have confidence in his academic and legal skills. I would suggest that the very...the big weakness on this side