

amendments.

PRESIDENT: Committee amendments are adopted. Anything else on it, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Nothing further, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner, your light is on. Did you wish...Okay, would you like to open on it first, Senator Landis, then we'll call on Senator Warner.

SENATOR LANDIS: Having described the bill in the committee amendments, and it now being the bill, I would move for its advancement.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Warner, please.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, I just wanted to make one additional comment on the comment I was making earlier so it's not misunderstood. I don't really...I have a legitimate concern that we are mixing two subject matters. But...and that we're not stretching germaneness by the excuse, even though it's within the rules, for the committee. But what I would like to say about the process is that I think we hear about too many bills being introduced. I think it's not a bad process that you have individual bills so that there is the opportunity for public hearing on separate items, rather than had the same bill introduced with five different things in it to start with. And...because that becomes a much more difficult process, and so even though I have some reservations to misuse, I do not suggest this is a misuse. And it's very proper to reduce the number of bills by doing this. But I think it's also excellent to introduce as single subject matter bills for purposes of public hearing.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Landis, would you like to close on the advancement of the bill?

SENATOR LANDIS: I'll close, and I am illuminated by that comment. I, too, would find a procedural agreement with that. And let me assure the body, once again, that each of the measures here has had a separate public hearing correctly identified to the public as to what was in the measure. Notice was given, separate hearings were held, and action was taken. And that process was adhered to in this situation. Thank you.