SENATOR WARNER: So, that ...

SENATOR LANDIS: I mean if you want to run 90 businesses out of business in Omaha, don't vote for the bill.

SENATOR WARNER: I don't think that's my question.

SENATOR LANDIS: You asked me if there was some reason why this action needed to be taking place immediately, and I'd say running 90 businesses out of business is some immediate need.

SENATOR WARNER: Okay, I understand.

SENATOR LANDIS: That's my personal definition, you $\mbox{\sc may}$ choose it differently.

SENATOR WARNER: With that, I agree. I would not want to jeopardize it by intentionally putting in a potentially unconstitutional two-subject bill, that's why I'm trying to lead to is there something that is needed to be done. I'm not trying to hassle, Senator Landis, I'm trying to arrive at a decision, because obviously this will be a practice that can grow and generate to really be something useful on a daily basis, annual basis, excuse me. (Laughter.) Well, thank you for your help.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Wesely, please.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you. I would only rise and say that this is not an unprecedented move whatsoever to try and pull different bills together. We just had ... Senator Lamb had a bill that had seven or eight different pieces of legislation from farm trailers to I don't even know what all we got in that bill. But I know it wasn't all dealing with farm trailers. And, so I also know a couple of years ago we had legislation dealing with a number of clean-up bills that we put together, closer to ten different pieces of legislation together. I think that, frankly, the Landis amendment is fine. I think that we do string together different legislation that all deal with subject matters under the Banking, Commerce and Insurance Committee. The committee did meet and decide that these were all worthy pieces of legislation that without this amendment would not have a chance of passage this year. So I understand Senator Warner's concern, it simply is not unusual, or unique, or unprecedented to do this. And I would rise in support of the committee amendments.