

of this kind comes up. And Judge Buckley, the presiding judge, the judge who wanted to restrict the scope of the grand jury, the one who led the charge to appoint a man as special prosecutor who is not up to the job, will not explain why the recusal occurs. To recuse means to disqualify. We've got all these judges commanding these salaries and they cannot handle a case like this. Although it is a terrible accusation and it's a terrible offense if it turns out that it was committed, it is not an unusual thing. There have been sexual assault cases far more serious than the one involving Peter Citron, so why do they recuse themselves? Because of who it is and his connections. That's why, and if it's not why, let Judge Buckley stop running and hiding and covering these things that these judges may be involved in. Those robes, the skirts that the judges wear are not designed to hide iniquity. They've got to come clean or stay away dirty and so far they are staying away dirty and have the nerve to support a bill like this to give two more to their number. Ali Baba had 40 thieves and he dealt with them, but I'm sure he would not have said that he dealt with them so well that they should add two more to the number. The judges in Douglas County have not acquitted themselves so well that we can believe that if they applied themselves to the job that they are paid to do, that they cannot handle it. But there is a premium in the judicial system to being lazy, to being slack, to sloughing off because your very laziness that creates a problem can be used as a justification to increase the number of people doing this work and increase your salary. There is no other category of employee who, by laziness, can improve his or her situation. Judges sit back and do nothing. They have seen the scheme used by the Chief Justice, just give a lot of numbers and the senators will be overwhelmed by them and never look at the kinds of cases that make up those numbers. Every kind of thing is included in there. Let me ask Senator Chizek a question before I make a statement that may not be valid.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Chizek.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Chizek, do those statistics exclude traffic cases?

SENATOR CHIZEK: They are in county court.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Chizek, please, into the microphone.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right, that's why I want to get things into