

March 1, 1990

LB 163

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: We don't...we don't know at this time. Revenue Department has not given us any figures to work with at this point, but it will lower the amount that will be collected because you're not going to be getting into areas like wholesalers, agricultural services, those kinds of things will probably not be taxed.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Now, those business fees go into the General Fund or they go into that special fund?

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: They would go into the specific fund.

SENATOR PIRSCH: And the 500,000 from the General Fund would also go into that special fund?

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: Well, as this amendment is written, the 500,000 is specifically to go for helping do the study of the plan that we're talking about here to create some kind of a state plan on...on solid waste and whatever money that potentially would be left over after the study is completed could then be used to implement the plan. But, you know, I don't know what the...

SENATOR PIRSCH: But that's separate from the...

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: Right.

SENATOR PIRSCH: ...fund itself but still maintains the fund as it was before. I have mixed feelings on this. I feel very strongly that if we do collect the majority of the money from the tires, that that money should be spent or should have some disposition to be spent for the tire problem. So I would hope that perhaps we could reconsider and put that back into this amendment. I don't know quite, at this time, whether this is a good idea or not. It does limit the amount of money but, again, it also takes out that tire money and I have real...real concerns about that. Thank you.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Senator Pirsch. Senator Johnson, your light is on next.

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: Well, Mr. President, if you're not thoroughly confused about what's going on, then you're sharper than I am. But the amendment, as I said, and I will just