

increased unemployment compensation more than was supposedly agreed to at some time or another. And that's really strange, that's really strange, absolutely no opposition. And what was the idea behind that? Who knows. One consideration was that they knew what was coming, opponents knew what was coming. We have completely turned this bill inside out from a simple, just unemployment increase to something that throws into the Department of Labor's laws stuff about drug testing, and federal law, state law, and just complete...as I say, completely turned the bill inside out. I hope the bill will reappear again, and I hope we can make one simple change later to some of Senator Hefner's language and then move the bill as unemployment increase that won't go into effect until '91, and further restrictions, further disqualification rules for people that will go into effect this summer. It's completely...we've completely lost the thrust of this bill. We've completely lost the idea of this bill when we finally make a move, when we finally make a move in favor of the working men and women in this state we prostitute it by doing what we have done today. And when we give them something it's just like dealing on a contract. Sure, we'll give you this \$10, this measly \$10 increase, but to give you that we're going to insist that you give us very onerous concessions. We'll give you ten, but you've got to give us a vehicle that will allow us to disqualify many, many more employees, make it much easier for us to discriminately disqualify employees across the state, then we'll give you your meager \$10. It's typical of dealing in a labor-management relationship. And it shouldn't come down to us versus them, but that's where it always comes from, and this is a perfect example. We had a good bill, a simple bill and we turned it into a monster. And now I have to decide whether I can vote for the ten, the meager ten increase with all this excess baggage hung on the side, or whether we should just wait and come back next year and see if we can't get this straight, that once in a while, once in a while the working men and women should win. They can't lose all the time. They can't give it all the time. They can't give concessions, major concessions for minor increases in what is rightly theirs. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Coordsen, back to you, sir.

SENATOR COORDSEN: The...to pickup where I left off, the figure of...an estimate on the part of the Department of Labor of 100 was their estimate as those that might be totally disqualified under LB 1173, which would be basically addressed as possession