

solid waste, and I want to get my teeth into something like that. So, based on Senator Morrissey's face, I would...well not all of his face, but on his expression, I would agree with him that we should try to wrap this up, adopt the Wehrbein amendment and move the bill to Select. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Lynch.

SENATOR LYNCH: Mr. President, members, I feel I should stand up because it's my bill you're talking about, LB 1062. Haven't said anything yet, and with all due respect I voted against the Speaker's decision, if you remember I was one of some that did, regarding the germaneness of the original amendment. I did, to be completely frank, to sort of see what happens kind of a thing, because now we're talking about a drug standards bill. I guess we're talking about it, and it may or may not be germane because it is now part of 315. But 315 is a bill that simply deals with unemployment benefits. The amendment, with the drug testing bill, simply provides the standard. To be completely frank, this is how things get out of hand, when we begin to talk about conditions and circumstances for people to be eligible for unemployment and it's reduced itself to this. I'm not quite sure how we cure it. I'm not really sure whether or not the amendment, offered by Senator Wehrbein, is really important at this point in time. I really don't think it's necessary to be in there at this point in time on General File. I would agree with Senator Hefner who has compromised and worked with a lot of different people and points of views on this floor at the present time to move this bill along. I would also then, because of that, suggest we accept the good advice of Senator Hefner who has a stake in this legislation as well as Senator Lindsay, and vote against the Wehrbein amendment, give us a chance to see, in a better way than we've been able to understand so far, other than just because we introduced as an amendment a drug testing bill, we have to crank in all of this kind of language which has nothing to do, in effect, with the unemployment concept of the original bill, and try to sort out what really is necessary and important. Maybe with another amendment somewhere along the line, and especially and hopefully on Select File, get at the real business and the original intent and purpose of 315. So I would respectfully suggest we not take action, either not vote or vote no on the Wehrbein amendment at this time.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Bernard-Stevens.