

judgment on the company. That isn't the state law telling us that you must test all of your employees, that is going to be up to the discretion of the company to do that, but if they want that exemption...

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time. You may finish.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: I will finish it. Well, if they want that exemption, Senator Wehrbein, all they would have to do is come under the federal testing procedures and guidelines under that one class of individuals that they are mandated to do that?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Okay, thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Wehrbein, you are next.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, Mr. President, and members, I will just briefly, I was going to make some of the points that Senator Kristensen, but he made them better than I could. My simply say that these are safety sensitive positions, as I would understand it, and it doesn't necessarily mean that you have to subject all employees to these tests. That really isn't what the intent here is, it is those that are operating safety sensitive positions, and it doesn't make sense that you are going to perhaps test clerical or otherwise under the federal regulations unless you are into a spot when they get into the unemployment situation or for other reasons that may have to do with terms of employment, as I understand it. But in this area of safety sensitive positions is what I understand this amendment, in particular, speaks to, and I think it would be appropriate not to have Senator Chambers' amendment in at this time, and, therefore, I would oppose his amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Chizek. Senator Morrissey, on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Yes, Mr. Speaker, and members, I still support the \$10 increase in unemployment, and I have been off the floor attending a hearing so I am kind of lost here. I guess I would ask Senator Stevens a question, if he is available.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Bernard-Stevens, are you available?