

So I would urge the body to reject the Chambers amendment, and attach the Wehrbein amendment onto the bill. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Kristensen, on the Chambers amendment. Senator Kristensen on the Chambers amendment, followed by Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: I see Senator Chambers isn't here. I was going to ask him a series of questions about his amendment and I guess I will wait until he comes back to do those specific ones. I would like to talk directly to Senator Wehrbein's amendment and the need for preemption. I think that it is appropriate to put in some amendment and some language for preemption, and one of the things that we will talk about is what actually is the effect of those, and we can talk about practical effects all day. I think what we need to look at is the policy, if you have a company who is operating under a stricter federal sense, shouldn't we allow them and shouldn't we keep them into that federal system which would be stricter than our state system. Our state doesn't talk about who should be tested and who shouldn't. Our law right now under LB 1062, as we know it, is going to be a procedures, which is fine, which I think is a good deal for us to have some sort of, if you are going to test, here is how you do it procedures. I see Senator Chambers has come back in. Senator Chambers, could I ask you some questions on your amendment?

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Chambers, would you respond.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: I was buying a little time until you got back in.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: The amendment as it is up there or what I was talking about before.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Which one are you serious about? Let's talk about the one you really want to run with.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, yes, I don't want the railroads exempted.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Let me make something clear based on the way the amendment is drafted. It was brought by the railroads but