SENATOR CHAMBERS: And the little boy looked up at his father and he said, you did. And then the father looks mortified. employee can say, in response to many employers, who taught you you should be on drugs when you're at work? And the employee can say, you did. And, for that, the employee will be fired for getting smart and telling the truth. Different strokes for different folks. And you can look at legislation that comes from a legislative body to determine who is in the saddle and who is in control. If we were dealing with conduct that society has condemned, namely the use of illegal drugs that are so offensive to Senator Kristensen, then we would be in here talking about the use of illegal drugs by CEOs and mid-level managers and on down the line of all the supervisors. But we don't. We're dealing in class legislation.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time. The Chair recognizes Senator Morrissey, followed by Senator Schmit.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members, trying to update Senator Korshoj, who came late, I simply said we had a fairly good unemployment bill, gave meager...meager increases to the unemployed workers and then we dove blindly into the swamp of adding all this extra language, all the testing, all the disqualifications and on and on and it's taking us all morning long, and here we are. I guess right now I'm just pretty fed up, folks. I just ask you to defeat all the amendments. Let's just defeat all the amendments and get back to the unemployment compensation increase, as meager as it is. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Schmit. Senator Schmit, please. Senator Chambers, on your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I would like to ask Senator Hefner a question if he will answer it.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Hefner, would you respond?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Hefner, to get away from the drug question for a moment so I can try to get to a philosophical matter relative to the right of somebody to work, do you feel that a person who has worked a job, say for three years satisfactorily, continues to work the job satisfactorily, that job that is being done needs somebody to do it but the employer