committee and is on General File at the present time. If you have any questions I'd be real happy to try and answer them for you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. D scussion, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Mr. President, I would again raise a point of order and ask for a ruling on germaneness with regard to the amendment that Senator Hefner has offered in the form of LB 1173. The amendment that he offered to the committee amendments dealt with Section 48-624 as the original bill does which is, as you know, the table with regard to unemployment The amendment that Senator Hefner offers deals with benefits. Section 48-628 which is the penalty provision or the disgualification provision for entitlement to unemployment They are two different subject matter here. The one benefits. is not within the same section of statute. Based on your earlier ruling with regard to the committee amendments, I would ask you to rule on germaneness with regard to this same amendment at this point in time which deals with the same sections and I would hope you would find in the same manner. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Hefner, please.

SENATOR HEFNER: Mr. President, I believe that it is germane because it provides right in the statement on the front of the bill, it says to provide for disqualification of benefits and that's what we're talking about in LB 315. In fact, as proposed now, we have raised the benefits \$10 per week starting January 1, 1991, and another \$10 a week for 1992. So all we're doing in this bill is giving another disqualification statement, so I believe that it would be germane to the bill.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Hall, in the opinion of the Chair, the amendment and the bill sectionwise are very close, 624 compared to 628, and because we do have a little more latitude of perhaps a wider germaneness rule this year, in the opinion of the Chair the amendment is germane to the bill.

SENATOR HALL: Mr. President, with all due respect, close, but no cigar, I would move to overrule the Chair.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. It is debatable. Would anyone care to discuss Senator Hall's motion to overrule? Senator