

need to be made. As I hear more and more talk about four day weekends, it's not going to make any difference about when we get out. If we have more and more four day weekends, we obviously are going to run into April or May...rather May easily in any event, and so that is...it does not resolve the issue at all as far as when we get out. And finally, I would say the reason I know this will never pass for biennial sessions is we all know there would be quite a force of people who will be opposed. And the people who will be opposed are those who now have full-time jobs year round, as lobbyists to work the Legislature. If we meet every other year that no longer is a full-time job. And they would be pretty strenuously opposed to that change. So I will vote for Senator Haberman's' amendment, which I co-signed with him, on the basis that I think policy changes could be made every two years adequately to meet those changes ought to occur,...

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time.

SENATOR WARNER: ...rather than run the same issues every year as we do now.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Korshoj, please, followed by Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR KORSHOJ: Mr. Speaker and members, I'm sure that if we put this on the ballot this bill will pass. So we've got to decide what we want before we put it on the ballot. I very much could support it. The thing I...one of the things I don't like about two years for one session, we keep getting the bills introduced that were killed the first half of the session, I don't think that's right. Then I think back to a conversation I had with Senator Warner during the last 60-day session. I went over and I said to him, if we could just get the deficit appropriation bill passed, we could go home, couldn't we. And Senator Warner said, well, we don't even have to pass it, there's no reason it cannot wait until next January. He said, there is nothing we have to do this year. I'd say if there is nothing you have to do in a short session, we probably shouldn't be here. And I've heard over and over in the 16th District that with the biennial budget we should have a biennial session. So I really think the people would support it. It would probably also require some rule changes. And I don't know, Senator Warner, you can just nod your head. If we had just a 100 day session, wouldn't we have to allow each individual senator maybe