to the fact that those that have some money can forestall things and make it...stretch it out quite awhile. Those that don't have the money, they go to court and it is adjudicated as as they can get into court. There are some of those that will get an attorney that will carry it on and on, so they would keep their license for that time and would still be out there Granted, I also understand that this is not going to take all of them off the street, even if they do lose their license. They are still going to be out there and drive, but if there are some areas, if we could...if Senator Bernard-Stevens, when he gets up here, and he has disappeared now, would withdraw his amendment and we could go ahead and move the bill, I will work with both him and Senator Hall and see if we come up with If we don't, I guess we will fight it out some consensus. another day.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Well, Mr. President, and members, I was hoping Senator Bernard-Stevens would withdraw his amendment by this time but I think it is worse than Senator Beyer has indicated, because as I understand the amendment, it would do away with the administrative revocation of license that we have now. So we would, in effect, be going backward. We would be going backward, and as Senator Warner mentioned, it would throw it completely into the court system which means delay after delay after delay, and so I strongly recommend that this amendment by Senator Bernard-Stevens be rejected.

OPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Langford, please. Senator Langford, on the amendment.

SENATOR LANGFORD: Call the question.

SPEAKER BARRETT: That won't be necessary. I believe Senator Bernard-Stevens is the only other light on. Would you care to close, Senator, on your amendment.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you. Senator Lamb, I could have gotten to the point of trying to withdraw it except I can't get the floor because everyone wanted to speak, and that is how it goes. So, we will start from there. I am going to at the end of this closing withdraw the amendment simply because I am convinced now, talking to members of the body, that the body yet does not understand what my amendment is trying to do, and that