

to, you know, ask if you would be willing to talk about some of these issues between now and Select File?

SENATOR BEYER: If we advance the bill?

SENATOR HALL: Correct, correct.

SENATOR BEYER: Well, as I told you before, there is one area that we know we have to make a change and, you know, I would be willing to look at any other of the areas of some points that have been raised, but also the fact that, you know, we still have...I think something has to be done and I think that is kind of in agreement with about everybody. You know you don't always get everything right the first time. We tried and that is what we are working at, but, yes, we could look at that.

SENATOR HALL: Okay, I appreciate that because I clearly do not support the concept that the bill purports, the change, but I also know when the train is on the track, and if the track happens to be headed south instead of north, you had better get out of the way, and today is one of those days I am going to get out of the way. But that is only so that I can live to fight another day, and that will be Select File. I intend to sit down with Senator Beyer and his staff, and go over, Senator Lamb and the Transportation staff, and look at some amendments that hopefully will correct some of these problems. I, myself, may never support the concept because of what I see as an administrative function that clearly belongs in the courts. But with that, I appreciate Senator Beyer's willingness to work on this and I also appreciate Senator Bernard-Stevens' attempt to clarify the language in the bill but I think it is one that is going to have to take some time to sit down and look at the bill as it already has been amended a couple of times through the committee amendments and the Lindsay-Hartnett amendment in a white copy so that we know what we are dealing with. With that, thank you very much, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Beyer, would you care to discuss the amendment?

SENATOR BEYER: Well, I would stand and oppose the amendment. In talking with Senator Bernard-Stevens, basically, that goes back to the status quo that we are in already. It doesn't gut the bill but it doesn't do anything to the bill because that is, basically, the process that is in process now. A lot of it goes