

have really been charged for something that she was absolutely innocent of. So I keep thinking of that in my mind.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Warner, please.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, and members of the Legislature, I'd rise to oppose the amendment at least as it was described. As I understand it, the process would go ahead unless there was a case filed and, obviously, or a case pending, rather, and, obviously, all you would have to do is whatever it cost to file a case, \$14 or 16, it would be just the same as have no law at all because everybody would find some lawyer that would file a case for whatever the fee is, and then it becomes pending, and then you ask for a continuation for the next five years, or however long they do it. It is another process, obviously, to make an act ineffective and for no purpose. I hope the amendment would be withdrawn.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Hall, followed by Senator Beyer.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. President, and members. Senator Beyer, would you yield to a question.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Beyer, please.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Beyer, the issue, there have been a number of issues that have been raised, I think legitimately all of them, at least the ones that I have I have tried to raise legitimately about the impact the bill would have. Would you be willing to sit down, because I have no intention of drafting any amendments that I don't have time to sit down and run through the bill and make sure they are properly put together, but I do want to take a look at some of the provisions of the bill, specifically, that time frame issue, so that an individual would not, and which I see Senator Bernard-Stevens attempting to do through his amendment, would not, basically, be found guilty without ever having a decision come down, that being one of them; a couple of other areas that, you know, I have raised with regard to what constitutes I think a very substantial change in our DWI process, would you be willing to sit down between now and Select File and go through that? I mean we may have to agree to disagree but I would...I don't want to waste any more of the body's time and I don't surely have the amendments that I think are proper drafted at this point, and I would just like