

arbitrary action by the state to take it. But, regardless, it is that chipping away that we are starting at now that just because you are stopped you are going to lose your license, and you can say it is because you refuse to submit, you can say it is because you chose to drink, but that is not the case. You can be stopped for DWI without having had a drink ever in your life, because when you are stopped, the police officer doesn't know where you have been or know what you have had to drink or tested what was in your glass. The police officer just stops you for some reason and a lot of times those reasons may not be justified. They may not amount to probable cause, and yet what we are going to allow is a person's driver's license be taken away. And in a case, for example, of a trucker, we are taking away their livelihood on a case that ultimately may get thrown out. Not thrown out for technical reasons or anything like that, but get thrown out simply because the evidence isn't there. We are putting the burden now onto the citizen to prove that I have the right to keep my license because some police officer said I didn't take a test. Rather than making the Director of Motor Vehicles, making the State of Nebraska prove that somebody has violated a law, we are saying, citizens, now you have to prove you are innocent. Now you have to prove you complied with the law. I don't think that is a good policy, constitutional or unconstitutional. It is just simply not good policy. I can't remember who it was that once said that we are not going to lose our rights in a revolution. We are not going to lose our rights from one fell swoop. Our rights are going to be lost inch by inch, going to be crumbled away. Our country couldn't stand for people to take away our rights all at one time. They will be taken away little by little by little. Senator Haberman talked in support of this bill and against the amendment, yet the other day we heard him talking so vehemently against gun control. But think about it, what is the next step? Is the next step that anytime a crime is committed with a gun, do we take the gun away now for a period until you can prove to the government that you should be entitled to have it back. What about other crimes with cars? Do we take the car away until you can prove to the government that you are entitled to have your car back? What about any crime you can think of? Do we start taking away rights or privileges or whatever until we can prove that we are fit and competent to handle those rights or handle those privileges? I don't think it is good policy. I don't think this bill should go. I don't think the amendment is going to go, but I hope we will talk, we will sit down and think about what we are doing. I withdraw the amendment.