

amendment to LB 260. Have you all voted? Senator Conway.

SENATOR CONWAY: Due to the reluctance of the members to get to a vote on this, I would call a...have a call of the house and a roll call vote. Regular order, please.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The question is, shall the house go under call? Those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, please.

CLERK: 18 ayes, 1 nay to go under call, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The house is under call. Members, please return to your desks and record your presence. Those members outside the Legislative Chamber, please return and record your presence. Senator Kristensen, please. Senator Elmer, Senator Goodrich, Senator Bernard-Stevens, Senator Lynch. Senator McFarland, please. Senator Moore, the house is under call. Senator Chambers, would you record your presence, please. Senator Landis. Senators Moore and McFarland, the house is under call. Senator Conway, may we proceed with the roll call. The question is the adoption of the Conway amendment to LB 260. Mr. Clerk, proceed with the roll call.

CLERK: (Roll call vote read. See page 982 of the Legislative Journal.) 18 ayes, 16 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The motion fails. The call is raised. Have you anything further on the bill, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: I have nothing further on the bill at this time, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Back to a discussion of the advancement of the bill. Senator Landis, your light is on.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, the bill now being in the form that it advanced from the Revenue Committee, a bill that I voted for, I intend to support Senator Conway's LB 260. This was basically the method that was used in Minnesota for which we had testimony in recent years of the success of this particular approach in obtaining the confiscation of profits and of drug related materials in Minnesota and, since it has been proven to be an effective tool there and since this is the model from which Senator Conway