

SENATOR MORRISSEY: They make some presumptions earlier, Section 4, part 2 to these presumptions, it just applies to the payment of...or nonpayment of the taxes, I guess. The presumptions don't work throughout the bill?

SENATOR CONWAY: Correct.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: So they wouldn't presume it's mine, and I'd have to prove it different?

SENATOR CONWAY: Correct.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Okay, was that section...or subsection (4) of Section 4, is that in the original bill?

SENATOR CONWAY: Yes, the procedure by which you establish jeopardy notice and deficiency and try to collect via the sale of the assets was part of the original legislation as well.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Okay, thank you. This section bothers me in the fact that what we have read in the paper in the last couple of years of when the federal government got tough on drugs and started confiscating boats, yachts, houses, cars from people who had employees with a joint in their pocket, or similar circumstances such as I have described, and that the bill, the way it is now, would put that first lien on this property. And I'm sure the bankers would have a good argument against this bill, and I haven't heard from them yet, I expect maybe to in the future. But this is just one of many of the sections of the bill that bother me, and I think it's something you all should think about. The proof...and it can happen, these situations that I described, might seem far-fetched, but it can happen. And I think it's something we really need to think about in voting on the amendments and the bill itself. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Mr. Clerk, you have an amendment?

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers would move to amend Senator Conway's amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Chambers, please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Clerk, that's the only copy I have, so would you read it in order that the body will be aware of what the amendment says.