

looked at that when they put those population figures in. We have one county commissioner represents over half the county as far as geographics go, but the other four represent the other half of the county and, consequently, the portion of my district has very little voice in what goes on, based on that fact. And our county commissioner, a lot of times, is on the low end of the four to one vote that represents the portion that...the largest portion of what I represent. So that would be the idea that I think probably there is a change needed. I kind of hate to see it come in specifically for one county. I think it's something that needs to be looked to set up a system so that Lancaster, Douglas, Sarpy, being the three largest counties in the state, are consistently the same on their election procedures, be it...whether it is nomination by district and elected at large or nomination by district and elected by district. And so with that thought in mind, I would like to see a study on it but then I would also oppose the Withem amendment. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Korshoj, did you wish to speak again? You're on. Senator Warner, please.

SENATOR WARNER: Yeah, Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I would first like to inquire of the Clerk, are there other amendments on the bill?

PRESIDENT: There are none now. There are none.

SENATOR WARNER: The thought occurred to me since the issue of election of county offices have been subject of legislation, otherwise which would be controversial, at least, as far as Lancaster County, and I don't know where the bill is at that was introduced. But, obviously, is a germaneness question here that one could raise which I do not particularly want to raise on the amendment that is pending. But once we get the county commissioners into a bill then, obviously, it would be subject properly by germaneness to be involved in a whole host of other possible Christmas trees and it's not the season for Christmas trees. And I don't know if I want to raise the question at this point or not. I guess I will be hesitant to vote yes because of what may potentially occur and I don't really want to raise the question. Senator Withem. No, because I don't know, I suspect maybe I may not vote. I have no objection to having it treated in a separate matter. I can understand what is attempted to be done. I certainly have no problem with Senator Korshoj's