

truly going to celebrate as a state, that all the people should have access to the seal that represents our 125th. And I really don't understand why it would be a misdemeanor to circulate, or use, or reproduce. Senator Moore, I have a question for you, if you would yield.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Moore, please.

SENATOR MOORE: Yes.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Senator Moore, is it a crime to use, reproduce, simulate, sell, circulate or distribute the state seal of the State of Nebraska?

SENATOR MOORE: I do not know the answer to that, Senator Pirsch.

SENATOR PIRSCH: I doubt that it is. Many of our entrepreneurs use that seal on many, various things that they sell to the public, and they give us pleasure to wear as pins or flags and take pride in our state, and I guess I don't quite understand why the seal for the 125th anniversary should be any different. With that, I will support Senator Chambers' amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Mr. Speaker and member, I apologize that I'm caught a little off guard with this particular thing, and that's my own fault. But the fact of the matter is, Senator Chambers may indeed raise a valid concern. But instead of adopting Senator Chambers' amendment, I think Senator Smith filed an amendment that adds in the words "for profit" that would take care of part of the problem that Senator Chambers raised. So, for lack...as I said, the reason this language was in there, not necessarily a good one, but I just simply took the bill from the 100th...the centennial and changed the figures and the dates and the seal and made it the 125th anniversary. Now, I would urge the body to oppose Senator Chambers' amendment. I would urge the body in turn to support Senator Smith's amendment "for profit", and I would more than welcome the opportunity to further inspect the need for this particular Section 4. And, with that, I urge the defeat of Senator Chambers' amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Smith, please, on the amendment.