

dissenting vote. That doesn't say every judge voted for him. There could have been two judges that voted and the other 10 abstained and there is not a dissenting vote. Judge Buckley could have been the only one who voted for him. Or maybe Judge Buckley didn't even vote for him but they told him somebody has got to go out there and talk to the public and you're the presiding judge so you go out there and you prettify what we have done. I don't think the judges in Douglas County realize how serious this matter is or they realize really how serious it is. And this shows again the awesome power that judges wield and why they must be held accountable, and in this particular incident, I think the judges in Douglas County have behaved in less than an exemplary fashion. They should be able to point to everything they have done in this case and have at least a consensus of the public say...from the public saying that is good. I feel comfortable with what you have done. And what the grand jury does is not within your power to control but I feel that in the realm where you were free to act you did all that a prudent person, a responsible person could be asked to do. In my opinion,...

SENATOR LABEDZ: One minute, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...that cannot be said of Judge Buckley and the other 11 judges. At least when a person is in court and they're tried for something, it takes 13 people to pervert the system, the 12 jurors and the judge. In this case, we have 12 but we have no countervailing voice to undo the damage done by the 12. So I hope that Judge Buckley will make me wrong. I hope that Judge Buckley will issue a charge that is broad enough to allow the grand jury to pursue everything necessary to do a thorough and complete investigation, and I think it is imperative that he publicize the exact wording of that charge. And, as for this bill, it's secondary now.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Haberman. Senator Haberman waives off. Senator Schmit, would you like to close on the advancement of LB 42?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Well, it's probably about time. You know, Senator Chambers, he suggested that maybe he and I were in lockstep on this issue. I think you have already terrified enough people of the fact that you and Elroy are in lockstep, and if the three of us got together, that would be almost something you couldn't explain. I think Senator Chambers has