

SENATOR LANGFORD: I certainly hate to call the house but let's do it.

PRESIDENT: The question is, shall the house go under call? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please. Oops! Just a moment. Now record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 10 ayes, 0 nays to go under call, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: The house is under call. Will you please record your presence. (Gavel.) Please record your presence. Senator Schmit. Senator Langford, would you accept call in votes?

SENATOR LANGFORD: Yes, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: The question is, for those coming in, the question is, shall debate cease?

CLERK: Senator Hartnett voting yes. Senator Hall voting yes. Senator Peterson voting yes. Senator Lynch voting yes. Senator Scofield voting yes.

PRESIDENT: Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays to cease debate, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Debate has ceased. Senator Hefner, would you close, please.

SENATOR HEFNER: Mr. President and members of the body, I will be brief in my remarks and then I will give Senator Chambers a little time. But I think this 10 percent increase starting January 1, 1991 is a reasonable one. It's not too bad. It would take the Supreme Court judges from a salary of \$70,000 or approximately 70,000 to a little over \$77,000, and then after that they would get another 7 percent increase the following year and another 7 percent the other following year. So that's not too bad. And I think this is a good increase. And, like I said the other day, I don't have anything against our judges. I think they're doing a terrific job. They're doing a good job and this would just kind of phase an increase in that's more reasonable than the 20 percent. And we need to remember, Senator Haberman, you mentioned it, the fringe benefits, the retirement program. And Senator Chambers has been doing a good job heading up that Retirement Committee. I commend you for it.