

his discussion of this bill, talked about the cases that he brings on behalf of people who have been victimized by discrimination. He talked about how he has brought such cases in state court and federal court. Then he leads the charge against a bill that would prohibit discrimination. I see an inconsistency. When it comes to the poor athlete, and I mean literally poor in the sense of being impoverished, he has no compassion in his heart. He is more concerned about the financial solvency of the Athletic Department than he is about the welfare of these athletes. So if his view is so skewed on a matter such as this, how can we place confidence in anything he says about why we should give these judges an increase in salary? He doesn't even want to allow the players who do so much for this school and this state to receive that to which they are entitled under the law in various university programs. So I find it very inconsistent and I hope that he gets here before we finish this discussion because I want to confront him with it directly. And, Senator McFarland, if you are listening, I wish you would come on back. Please come back. Do you know why I have to use these opportunities? Because life is like a seamless web, and various principles that we discuss in one context...

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...spill over into others, and this is one of those. We are talking about equity. Senator McFarland thinks it is equitable to increase the salary of judges on the Supreme Court from 65,000 to 96,000 in two years, but he believes that the athlete who has been deemed needy should be entitled to nothing. Not only should he be entitled to nothing in terms of aid, but he should not be entitled to relief from this Legislature. What he ought to do is not talk about this bill any more. Here he is and my time is up but I hope that he heard the comments that I made and I hope he will address them, because right now I see him astraddle a fence made of Gillette razor blades.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Schmit. Discussion on the Chambers amendment, Senator Schmit. Senator Schmit, followed by Senators McFarland and Chambers.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, and members, I don't...I haven't read the entire amendment of Senator Chambers, but if it was just a one-shot 50 percent amendment, Senator Chambers, and get