

support LB 1044. We had a particular problem with this issue in the City of Ralston. And we found that at that particular time there was nothing in the statute dealing with accrual of a claim. I'd like to thank Senator Pirsch for bringing this bill before the body, because it's a problem and it's not so much a problem in other larger cities because we have a statute dealing with this particular issue of accrual of claims. And it's not a problem with counties, as I understand they have a similar piece of statutory language. One thing that I do feel is important in establishing a legislative history of this particular bill is determining what, in fact, means accrual of the claim. It's my understanding, Senator Hartnett, if you could pop in, if this is your view of this particular statutory language, that accrual of the claim would mean after a completion of the work, or in the case of an accountant that was doing work for the city he would submit a monthly bill, whatever the terms that the city would provide for. Is that an accurate...

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yes, I think that's the way I would see this doing, Senator Abboud.

SENATOR ABOUD: So it would either be completion of the work by a particular individual for the city, or whatever sort of established billing system the city had established,...

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yes.

SENATOR ABOUD: ...since it's on a monthly basis.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yes, that's the way I would see it, Senator Abboud.

SENATOR ABOUD: Thank you, Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Senator Hartnett, your light is on. Senator Hartnett waives closing. The issue before you is the advancement of LB 1044. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Please record, Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays on the advancement of the bill.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: LB 1044 is advanced. LB 1076.

ASSISTANT CLERK: LB 1076 was introduced by Senator Wesely.