

on. Would you care to close?

SENATOR HARTNETT: No, thank you.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Closing is waived. The issue before you is the advancement of LB 1043. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Please record, Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays on the advancement of the bill.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: It is advanced. LB 1044.

ASSISTANT CLERK: LB 1044 was introduced by Senator Pirsch. (Read title.) The bill was read for the first time on January 8th, was referred to Urban Affairs which reports the bill to General File.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Senator Hartnett, I understand you're going to handle the bill.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yes. It was heard by the Urban Affairs Committee, advanced without any...out of committee without any dissenting votes. And what it does, it clarifies two sections of the law, Section 16-726 and 17-714 which relate to cities and villages to set up procedures for filing of claims against the municipalities. (inaudible) the two statutes are identical. This proposal (inaudible) all liquidated claims, unliquidated claims and accounts payable against the city would have to be presented in writing to the city, stating the name and address, the claim and amount of the claim and fully and accurately identify the items or service for which the payment is claimed or the time, place, nature and circumstances giving rise to claim. The principal substantial change in the bill is the requirement that a claimant file a claim within 90 days of accrual of the claim with the city clerk as a condition precedent to maintain action for the claim. This requirement would not apply to tort claims as defined in Section 13-903. The city clerk would be responsible for notifying the claimant or his or her agent or attorney of the disallowance of the claim within five days of the city action by mail.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Senator Abboud, please.

SENATOR ABOUD: Yes, Mr. President, colleagues. I rise to