and that they must be fought, but he cannot control his own nicotine habit. Let him have that habit, let him be paid a very large salary to tell others to do what he himself cannot do. Senator Hefner's motion, the amendment that he offers does, fact, set a tone. This is more meaningful than that resolution on the styrofoam cups. What I said at that time, that was a tempest in a styrofoam teacup, and it had no impact on the environment of substance. But this is a motion which, adopted, will have substantial impact, and it will carry meaning, and it will be in the form that is enforceable and done in the way that the Legislature should act. It is made a part of a law, that is our function, and if we really mean to be serious about an issue such as this, as the Legislature seemed to be telling the public it was serious about styrofoam cups, Senator Hefner's motion should be adopted. And I will vote in favor of it.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Smith, please. Okay. Wesely, did you wish to speak on the Hefner amendment? Wehrbein, did you wish to speak on the Hefner amendment?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Just simply, Mr. President, members, just simply to say I, personally, would support an amendment this if it was to do as Senator Chambers framed the question, and perhaps as Senator Hefner. On the other hand, it's not my intent of this bill to go beyond what we have said. And I go back to the fact that under the law, as I am proposing in 269 without the amendment, it is the intent that business can divide area into smoking and no smoking. It does not ban smoking completely. It doesn't allow business to do that. So I feel by having an amendment on this, as acceptable as may be to many, does not really contribute to the bill. It may send a message that is more correct, this amendment, I won't argue that. to reiterate my point, my point is that my intent is not to try to drive a wedge between smokers and nonsmokers per se, it is simply to have the clean air available to a nonsmoker as they may so desire. And if you can divide a room, such as this, half, for example, then that is perfectly adequate. We don't have to ban it in the whole area. I think that would be consistent with what this bill is attempting to do to business. So, on that basis, I will oppose the amendment.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Hefner, did you wish to close on your amendment?