

the university has a cause of action at law if it wanted to seek damages, or in equity if it wanted to seek an injunction that would prohibit the NCAA from imposing such a sanction. Those at the university know this. Tom Krepel knows this. And when I find out who these other people are at the university, who are misrepresenting this bill, then I'm going...I'm going to be frank with you, I'm going to do what I can to hurt the university. I'm tired of this blindsiding, this underhanded dealing with me. I have communicated with the counsel for the university. He is a vice-president. His name is Richard Wood. I have dealt with him above the table and aboveboard with all of these people. Nothing has been said to me during the time that this bill came out of committee and now other than Chancellor Griesen having a meeting with me in my office where he pointed out the groups of students that are discriminated against. He said that this January they were going to try to change the NCAA rule so that that discrimination would be wiped out. They were unsuccessful in doing that. Now there are people calling Arlene Nelson and others and misrepresenting this bill by saying it has something to do with the Tarkanian case in Nevada. LB 708 has nothing to do with the Tarkanian case, nothing whatsoever. Whoever told her that told her a lie. And I cannot fault Senator Nelson for believing them because she has no reason to think people from the university will lie. But this bill has nothing to do with the Nevada situation. LB 397 that dealt with due process grew out of that case and I, frankly, acknowledged it and discussed it.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But to indicate that this bill in any way relates to the kind of problems that existed at Nevada-Las Vegas, that resulted in an attempt by the NCAA to impose sanctions, is totally and absolutely false. And when the university comes over here for its budget, then I am going to get them, and I mean it. I have not lied about the university or any of these other things that I deal with. If I don't like a bill, I say I don't like it, but I don't tell lies about what the bill does. And anybody on this floor is entitled to believe that information coming from the university is not a lie. Now they can express a difference of opinion, but a lie is inexcusable and it's a different type of cat. And I'm glad that Senator Nelson did not remain silent because she has revealed to me the kind of things that they are saying to others. And had she not spoken, there would be people accepting that but it