

recruited nonscholarship athlete, and it would put the university in direct confrontation with the NCAA over this issue. At the present time, if you look on page 2 of the letter that I have handed out from Vice-Chancellor Griesen, it talks about a recruited nonscholarship athlete, and that the recruited nonscholarship athlete has three options; one, the recruited nonscholarship athlete who gets an additional financial aid can either accept that financial aid with the understanding that he or she may not participate in varsity competition, or, two, decline the award so that he or she will be able to engage in varsity intercollegiate competition, or, three, accept the award and then gain Athletic Department agreement to count the individual as a scholarship athlete, and it is important to notice that this third option is really not a realistic option, particularly in basketball and football because they are already at their limits of 15 scholarships for basketball...

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR McFARLAND: ...and 95 for football. So under the present situation, the recruited nonscholarship athlete really has one of the two options. If the bill passed in its present form, it would force the university to violate the NCAA rule that says that you cannot have a varsity athlete who is...and try to count that as a nonscholarship athlete who is receiving that type of financial assistance and it would, thereby, by violating the NCAA rule, put the university in a direct confrontation and put the university in a real dilemma. The dilemma which I will try to explain when I get a chance to speak would be that it would force the university either to comply with state law, violate NCAA rules and be subject to sanction by the NCAA, or to comply with NCAA rules and then...

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time.

SENATOR McFARLAND: ...violate state law, and I will try to explain this further when I have an additional chance.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Discussion of the Chambers amendment. Senator Crosby, did you care to speak to the amendment? Thank you. Senator McFarland, did you care to speak to the amendment? Your light is next. Thank you. Senator Chambers, there are no other lights. Would you care to close?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, the bill does need to be