

just say, also, that this Legislature will not be understanding if and when we solve the problems for the primary, metropolitan class cities, and the first class cities, because once we have done that, then the pressure is off. So I support the Morrissey amendment. Then I wish you would take a good look at the bill. I apologize to Senator Rod Johnson. I said we were going to try to work with you on this. I have just not had the time. It is my own fault. I have no one to blame but myself. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Morrissey, please, followed by Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Thank you, Mr. President, and members. I agree with Senator Schmit in the fact that I think this should be the priority. I definitely do think this should be the priority, and I wish...I know Senator Johnson has been bringing these bills for many years, and I think that maybe I kind of dropped the ball this summer when I got busy with other things and didn't get focused on this as soon as I should have. I am focused on it now and I really believe in what I am doing, and I want to emphasize that what Senator Johnson said earlier isn't quite right. This will not detract from 163 as is. This is added on. It has its own funding. It won't take money from the other projects. It will spend the one million, five, one million, five hundred thousand dollars, fifty thousand to the university for study of tire disposal, but I want to emphasize, you have to commit to this. You have to commit that, yes, this is a priority. If you have done your homework, you know it is coming. If you can't support the funding, don't get on board because we have got to spend the money to do this, and it should be a state priority. And if you can't take it all the way through to an override if necessary, don't get on board. Commit now to this priority. It should be a priority in my view, and I think if you look at it, and if you want to read any of the tons of literature I have, you are more than welcome to come in and do it, but, again, I would like to start keying in on the complicated issue that we are facing. Pretty much general consensus, what I have talked about, source reduction and the problems associated with it that we have to address; recycling, the problems associated with it that we have to address; incineration for generation and reduction. Feasibility of incineration is only feasible in certain circumstances. We have to help these folks decide if they can do it because it is a good alternative if you can provide clean air. That is one of the problems. You don't want to transfer your pollution from