January 30, 1990 LR 8

example of that today ...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, but your feeling in this, not be be argumentative, but to get your understanding, your feeling is that this provision that exists in the Constitution now that talks about the laws that deal with those types of businesses refers only to political subdivisions or public employees.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: As I said before, Senator Chambers, that's my understanding. There may be some businesses that are out there, that's an area that I don't have a specialty in and I honestly don't know.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But if there are such businesses...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: If there are.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...then the proposed change in LR 8 would take those...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes, Senator, that's right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...controversies out of the right to have an appeal...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ... to the Supreme Court.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes, that's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, that's the point that I want to make. Not just public employees, but any business affected with a public interest or that affects the public welfare without attempting to delineate all such businesses, when those disputes are handled now by this Industrial Commission there is an appeal to the Supreme Court from the final orders and judgments. That right, as Senator Kristensen concedes, well, let me see if he concedes that. Senator Kristensen, do you concede that if LR 8 is adopted in the present form, that the current right to appeal to the Supreme Court from the rulings of this Industrial Commission, regardless of who they deal with, but the right to appeal to the Supreme Court would be taken away and it would no loncer be guaranteed in the Constitution?

