

that's why I want to see why you keep saying that.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Because you keep telling people that they are not going to have the right to appeal and you lead them to try to believe that the appeal that they will have will be somehow inferior, in my judgment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Members of the Legislature, if you check the record you will see that every time I have talked about an appeal right being taken away, it's with reference to the right to appeal to the Supreme Court. I am the one who has emphasized repeatedly that you get a chance under this, if it's enacted, to go to a three-judge panel. I have said that repeatedly and I have criticized it as being inadequate. The fact that Senator Kristensen has a weak position causes him to try to muddy what it is that I have said. There can be no doubt that people currently have a right under the Constitution to appeal felony cases to the Supreme Court and there can be no doubt that that right is being taken away. Anybody who says the contrary doesn't understand this bill and if they understand it and say that, then they're being just frankly dishonest. Senator Kristensen indicates that there will be people going out this summer by way of a study to explain that court that would be set up. I don't know how many people would do that, I don't know if it's under the auspices of the court, what facilities will be available to cart this dog and pony show around the state and where they'll wind up sitting and whether or not they'll befuddle the people as they are trying to befuddle people on the floor of this Legislature. Somebody will say, well what I would like to know, if my farm is foreclosed, will I have a right to appeal to the Supreme Court? Then the ones representing this will say, well, you certainly will have a right to appeal, yes, this doesn't take away your right to appeal, and leave and that person will sit down. But the question was, will I have a right to appeal to the Supreme Court? Then the one who gave the partial answer will say, well, if the questioner wasn't satisfied he should have pursued me and asked me did I mean a right to appeal to the Supreme Court, or just a right to appeal? That's the way they do it. That's the way they've been arguing it here and it will continue to be argued that way and presented in that fashion because it is difficult for somebody such as Senator Kristensen who believes so much in the rights of citizens to just frankly say that a constitutional right is, in fact, being taken away. It's hard for him to say that. He knows it should not be done, in my