

that I do not disagree. I don't want him to feel that I am picking on LB 678 because I understand the need that caused it. The problems that I have is the tying in of Title XX funds on page 6, and then going on for at least that many more pages up to page, well, twice that many, up to page 18 developing the early childhood and the training program and so forth. Now, I am not against the training program either. What I am looking at is that I wish that we could work together and divide this bill, because I am for these Title XX funds, and I might be for early education, as well, but I just don't feel the way that they have been crafted together, even though I know Senator Wesely had great intent here and great expertise, I just don't...I think it covers too much, and I really don't have any fears except for that. Now Senator Wesely didn't, when he answered my question, he didn't give me that you did have any documentation that this would not drive the market rates up. So if he'd have that documentation, I'd certainly like to see that. Another thing I would like to point out here is the combination of the Social Service Department and the Department of Education, both of which are very necessary to our government, but I would like to have the members of the body, whether you vote for this this morning or not, to look at the combination of LB 678 and LB 567 because last Friday we took off the coordinating committee on 567 because it would be in LB 678. That is one of the things that I am concerned about. None of these things are...do I look at them as bad or am I looking at them from a paranoiac state of mind. I am just concerned about them. We are setting up something that is going to last ad infinitum, forever, and we need to look at it, be careful with it now. I am looking at the cost to local communities. Now we realize that ultimately the training program will be taken over by the Department of Education, but if you will look at your fiscal, if you will look at LB 678A, folks, and compare 678A with the bill, and there is on line 16, on page 3, you will see that no expenditures for permanent and temporary salaries and per diems for state employees shall be made from funds appropriated in this section, and I think that you really...I don't have time to explain all of it here, but I wish that you would compare those LB 678A with 678, and then 678 and LB 567 and see that we have a lot of overlapping here. Now what I am concerned about, too, and Senator Schmit or Senator Lamb or someone like this might want to mention this, we are going to develop this coordinating committee, and we are going to hold public hearings, and I, personally, don't know who is going to pay for that because it can't come out of the training fund, and