

SENATOR SCHMIT: Oh, I see what you are saying. This I don't think will drive up market reimbursement but, actually, it should help those families that aren't on Title XX. Right now by underreimbursing Title XX, the day care provider sometimes has to...you know how that works...has to raise the rates for the others that are paying to make up some of their cost differentials, and so, hopefully, by having the market rate paid by the state for Title XX, these providers don't have to charge as high a rate to other families, perhaps.

SENATOR SCHMIT: I am probably out of time, right, Mr. President?

PRESIDENT: Ten seconds, yes. Senator Beck, please, followed by Senator Nelson, then Senator Haberman.

SENATOR BECK: I just wanted to continue with a couple of things here, the troubles that I have with the bill, and I guess, and then I will end with a couple of questions, and Senator Wesely, then, can go ahead, if he would, and answer those. I sent a letter around this morning from the Archer Dawson Agency. This lady came into visit us and she sent us a letter, and she may have sent others of you the same letter. This is another concern that I have. She...because she brought it to me...and you will notice the last two paragraphs she tells that her "concern with LB 678 is a provision of the bill that, depending upon interpretation," and that is important, "could allow my 'for profit' competitors to gain unfair advantage by utilizing state funding for training, and thereby subsidizing their commercial placement enterprise." The basic thrust of her question is this that she has a nanny service, and she is concerned that this will be used for nanny services, but nannies do not stay yet in Nebraska. I mean, you might be interested to know that young women who go into the nanny training service are used all over this country and in Europe. They like to have young women from Nebraska, so I think that is a plus for us, and I thanked her for letting us know that. But she is concerned about that, and I think that there is a valid concern here because we are taking state funding and putting it into training funds, if you followed the rest of the bill. It may not be a great deal today. I think it is approximately \$200,000, but she is concerned that it does enter into the free enterprise market, as much as Senator Schmit also brought this out. I guess, too, the question would be if Senator Wesely does have documentation