

the sun moves around the earth. So righteousness, holiness and the will of God having been carried out, by virtue of the rack, they started to loosen the rack and his bones started kind of coming back into place. The agony was still excruciating but not to the extent it had been at first. Galileo's...

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...knowledge, his principles as a scientist reasserted themselves and just before they released him from the rack, speaking of the earth, Galileo said, but it does move. Regardless of all of the self-righteous, arrogant, busybody intrusiveness that this Legislature is trying to bring into being, I say it is wrong, wrong, wrong. And I'm going to do all I can to stop it from happening, at least happening today, or tomorrow. And I feel that if we would bracket this bill, there would be an opportunity...and I'm telling you what my intentions are, for those outside this Chamber who feel the way that I feel, to marshal their resources and show such overwhelming opposition for this injustice to our young women...

PRESIDENT: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...that even this Legislature will be moved to stop what it's attempting to do.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Landis, followed by Senator Ashford and Senator Labedz.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, there are just simply some problems in the language of 769 that we ought to be aware of. I tried to raise one a little earlier. I wanted to give you my cite for that; 25-1914 in our statutes requires, in an appeal to the Supreme Court, an appeal, by the way, authorized by 769, that there be a filing of a cost bond, and it says, filing in the District Court a bond or undertaking in the sum of \$75 to be approved by the Clerk of the District Court. Now the bill, itself, says that fees are waived, it does not say, or bonds. You could make a change in this bill very easily and solve that problem. You would have to make a small amendment. You would say "all fees or bonds are waived", but we can't do that because we have got a motion to suspend the rules and do this without further amendment. Secondly, there's a problem in the bill that says...that is unclear as to whether or not a return receipt on a mailing must be received by the doctor