

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...cruel can a law be? How much more unjust? Because I see this law in the light that I have been discussing it, I shall continue to do all I can to force discussion, to force us to face these matters whether they change our mind or not.

PRESIDENT: Time. Thank you. The question is the adoption of the Chambers amendment to the Schimek amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 8 ayes, 27 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT: The amendment fails. Mr. Clerk, do you have any other amendments?

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers would move to amend by striking...

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, please.

CLERK: ...striking March 20 and inserting April 9, 1990.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, please. Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: I would refer you to Rule 7, Section 6, page 55, of the rule book which says no motion to postpone to a time certain, to commit or to postpone indefinitely being decided shall again be allowed on the same day at the same stage of the bill or proposition. So I submit that the motion is out of order.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, would you like to respond, please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: First, Mr. Chairman, this is an amendment to a motion and I can find the rule...I didn't know Senator Lamb would raise this one, that says all these motions that we bring up are subject to amendment. This is not the motion itself. The motion has not been decided. An amendment has been decided. Now if we had gotten to the point of voting on the motion to bracket and that motion had been voted down, then another one could not be offered to bracket to a time certain. But even with that having been said, a motion to bracket, period, can be made any number of times. A motion to bracket without it going