

But, if it is divisible, I think it's an improper ruling. I believe it's divisible, and I think regardless of what we voted on a couple of days ago or yesterday, there is a very, in my estimation, serious issue of staying with the rules and staying consistent. I'm going to overrule...vote to overrule the Chair because I think he sincerely made the incorrect decision. But I would also say it has nothing to do with the issue because I have voted on the rules, even when it was on the opposite side of how I felt about an issue. I have done that in the past, I will do that today. If it comes up again to preserve the rules, I will do it in the future.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Mr. President. Actually, I'm going to take a couple of minutes for general comments, and then I'm actually going to talk about the amendment a little bit so we can at least have some of that in front of us. Senator McFarland gives a pretty accurate account of things that have happened in the last couple of days, and it's always good to go back and kind of refresh memories and see what happens. But sometimes over a period of time we have a tendency, as was done in China years and years ago, to write a revision of history as the way we would like to see it, so it fits our agenda for what we're trying to do and fit our position. So I'd like to go back a little bit more to the record as it actually happened, without too many revisions, without too many people trying to say this is why, or this is what happened and this is why he did that, when the only person that can really tell you why or how is the person that did it. I'll be quite honest with the body, Monday morning I came tootling in, got up at three-thirty in North Platte, tootled in, feeling pretty good, a lot of amendments pending on 769, I had a few that were good amendments, not stalling amendments, were good amendments to 769, and I assumed that there would be a big brouhaha on amendments, and that sooner...at one point we would get to my amendment, and I was really going to make a sincere effort to get my amendment agreed to, because I thought it really improved the bill. And, by the way, it had notification. Members that are co-signers, my amendment maintained not only the judicial bypass, not only maintained the things of rape and incest and abuse to teenage mothers, but it also expanded the possibility that they're going to get information that they really need to make a good decision that all people on all sides say that they wanted, and it expanded it so that we might be able to get that person whose