

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and the body as a whole.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. The Chair is pleased to take just a moment to introduce some guests of Senator Kristensen. Under the north balcony, we have Mr. Russell Young and Hilda Young from Guide Rock and their son, Vernon, from Hastings. Would you folks please stand and be recognized. Thank you, we're glad to have you with us. The motion is debatable. Pursuant to Rule 1, Section 12, no member may speak more than once on the motion to overrule. I do have a number of lights on. Senator McFarland, would you care to speak to the motion?

SENATOR McFARLAND: Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you.

SENATOR McFARLAND: I think the Speaker of our Legislature is correct in his interpretation and application of the rule. It seems to me if this particular amendment is divisible, it is divisible into two sections. One, the section having to deal with the notice to an adult family member; and then the second section would be having to do with the counselor and all the duties and the notice responsibilities and so on. If, in fact, this question were divided in the manner that Senator Chambers proposes, if we did not enact the second section which has a definition of counselor in it, then the third section would not make any sense if it were adopted because you would be talking about a counselor and you wouldn't even know what the definition of the counselor would be. Similarly, if the fourth section were adopted without the second section being adopted, it would not make sense. I'm curious, and I notice another thing on this, which I'm trying to follow these amendments because, again, although they were typed and prepared on January 12th, we didn't see them until the day they were introduced, and they were never printed in the Journal. I'm looking at subsection (3) of the amendment that says, on page 3, strike lines 1 through 5, and I think I'm interpreting this right. If I look on page 3, line 1 starts in the middle of the sentence from the previous page, and to strike lines 1 through 5 wouldn't make any sense. There wouldn't...it wouldn't read correctly, if you just struck lines 1 through 5. I think I've got the right copy. In any event, I don't think the question is divisible into four parts. I don't think it's divisible into 19 parts, or