

think that's not right. Also, you talked about our government. You talked about our federal government, about our state government. Our governments haven't been that bad. Our federal government has survived over 200 years. Our state government has survived over 100 years. So I believe the process that we operate under is doing its job. Senator Scofield mentioned about introducing about rain in western Nebraska. Senator Scofield, I'd say we foul up enough things down here, let's not tamper with the weather. If I have a few minutes left, I'd like to give them to Senator Lindsay.

PRESIDENT: Senator Lindsay, a little less than two minutes.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Than you, Mr. President. Thank you, Senator Hefner. I'll tell you, over the past couple of days and I guess over the last session or so I've come to respect Senator Chambers. And I think one of the reasons for it is he's pretty straightforward. When he sees a horse he says, hey, that's a horse. When he sees a car he says, that's a car. When he engaged in a filibuster he said, I'm filibustering, and he's honest about it. He says, I did it on 775 and I'm doing it on 769; the votes are out there to pass it and I'm going to try to stop it. And I can respect that and I can accept that. What I have a little difficulty with is some of the attitude of we're just trying to help the bill, we're trying to correct the bill, and it's not there. It's a filibuster, let's call it a filibuster. We're trying to prevent...

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR LINDSAY: ...passage of the bill by trying to prevent a vote on it, and I can accept that, if that's straightforward. I hear talk about the federal government and about how in the U.S. Senate during the Civil War that they came up with the idea that filibuster must be allowed. But in the U.S. Senate cloture is allowed. One person cannot...or two or three people cannot hold the body at bay. There are...there are methods of getting around that. As far as what some of the questions that Senator Landis had, and they may be legitimate questions, let's create a legislative history for that. As it is, we're creating legislative history on procedural matters that are coming before the body. I suspect we'll never get to a vote on this bill, as much as we'd like to. I suspect that the legislative history, when we do get to a vote on this bill, will be sparse. I would also suggest that that's no fault of the proponents. We'd love