

on the face of the petition. If it's to be deleted, fine, where is it to be deleted? It's not on the face of it. It isn't in the bill. If the bill is supposed to promise confidentiality, it's not there. The Judiciary Committee can do better work on this bill, if it's supposed to do this kind of thing. So I would just say that in fact this bill does not, on its face, meet the claims the proponents have. If they're there, I want to see it in writing. If it's not there, then it can do more work, and that's why a reference to a committee is all the more valuable. I support the Scofield amendment.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Hefner, followed by Senator Labeledz.

SENATOR HEFNER: Mr. President and members of the body, I rise to oppose this motion, this motion to return that back to committee. Mr. President, do I have the floor, or does Senator Landis have it?

PRESIDENT: You have the floor.

SENATOR HEFNER: Okay, thank you. But anyway, I oppose this, and I want to tell you some reasons why. I think that this bill had a good hearing. A majority of this committee advanced the bill and it's before us. And we've debated this many hours, many hours, but we've never been able to get it up for a vote, and I can't figure that out. So I'd say that that's filibustering a bill. Also, I don't believe that Senator Bernard-Stevens was sincere yesterday. I just can't feel that a person would introduce an amendment and then ask the Chair for permission to divide the questions 19 or 20 different ways. I really don't think he was sincere. All this bill does is requires the doctor to get the permission from the parents, or one parent for a girl that's going to have an abortion, and requires a 48-day waiting period. So I really don't see that it's that bad a bill. I realize it's a serious issue. We shouldn't be making fun about it because we're talking about life. I realize it's an emotional issue. But I plead with the opponents to at least let us get it up for a vote and then go from there. Senator Bernard-Stevens, you'll have a chance when we debate the issue on Select File. If you're sincere, draft each one of those amendments separately, and I don't have any problem with some of these amendments, and I'll support you on those. But what I hate to see you do is when you introduce one big amendment and then want to divide it 19 or 20 ways, I just