

rules whether you all believe it or not. I read the rules. I'd never stand here and say I know every word that is in the rule book, or that I can cite every rule, or that on occasion I may not misread a rule. But I do have respect for the rules. And to touch on what Senator McFarland said earlier about my tactic on 775, I'm allowed to make any motion that I choose. That's what I'm allowed to do. And, if I make a motion that somebody feels is out of order, they seek a ruling from the Chair. And at that point the power of the Chair or the authority of the Chair comes into play and a ruling is made. And when the ruling was made, in the incident that I gave, that the motion was out of order, I accepted it. I played by the rules, and Senator McFarland knows that. The difference between what I did then and what he has done is to vote in favor of not allowing a rule to be applied as written. The rule as written says that any member may seek a division of the question. And, if the question can be divided into discrete parts, the question shall be divided. That's what your rules say. And, if it's a thousand divisions, that is not what determines whether the rule is applied. Nobody made a motion to suspend the rule that would prohibit the division of the question. People get caught with their trousers or their panties down and they say, well, I was outfoxed, outflanked, so I don't want the rule applied. And, if I can get enough votes who want this bill passed, we'll just vote to ignore that rule because it doesn't exist anymore. That rule is not in the books, and that's what my good friend, Senator McFarland, voted to do, lawyer that he is, trained in due process, trained in rules of the court, trained in those activities and educated enough to know the difference between a democratic and a republican form of government. He knows that in fact a republican form of government is representative where the people elect people to go represent them. Democratic government is where the people themselves rule. All the people get together and make a decision, which, if could be democratically done now, the decision would probably be that we pile up a bunch of faggots and put Chambers on top of them, set them oh, F-A-G-G-O-T-S, set them afire and burn him at the stake. That would be democracy in action. Laws have been put in place to restrain, to restrain those primitive, barbaric urges. With a very thin veneer and a pretense at civilized procedure this Legislature has done the same thing. There is an arrogance that comes with power, and when somebody feels they have enough votes to force a bill through there is a sense of power that I can disregard the rules, I don't care. You can see the arrogant expression on their faces. You can see they and