

are the kind of person that is willing to take anyone's rights away, if it suits your fancy. And I hate to be that blunt, but when you get to democratic issues, by golly, a line has to be drawn. And I'm sorry to be the one to have to draw it for you, but there it is. Mr. President, I want a call of the house, I want a roll call vote on whether or not I'm going to be able to divide the question. May I remind the body a yes vote would allow me to divide the question into seven sections. A no vote says that this senator or any other senator cannot divide the question, even if it's divisible. That is the vote right now.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. The question is, shall the house go under call? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 16 ayes, 0 nays to go under call, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: The house is under call. Will you please record your presence. Those not in the Chamber, please return to the Chamber and record your presence. As soon as we have all the members in the Chamber that are not excused, we will give you the number required to overrule the Chair. We need Senator Abboud, Senator Ashford and Senator Baack. Senator Lamb, Senator Langford, please record your presence. Senator Peterson, Senator Goodrich, Senator Haberman and Senator Wesely. Senator Goodrich, Senator Haberman. Senator Lamb, Senator Peterson. We're still looking for Senator Lamb and Senator Peterson and Senator Goodrich. Still looking for Senator Goodrich and Senator Peterson. And a roll call vote has been requested in reverse order. Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Mr. President, I'm somewhat confused still. A yes vote is in favor of allowing a senator to divide a question that is divisible, a no vote is not in favor of allowing a senator to divide a question, is that correct?

PRESIDENT: The issue really is to overrule the Chair.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Let me rephra...that's why I'm confused. A yes vote then would override the Chair and say that a senator can, in fact, divide the question. And a no vote is not to override the Chair, which is a ruling saying that no senator can ever divide a question, even if it's divisible. Is that correct?