

voting against that motion to overrule and I will have to support it.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Dierks, please, followed by Senator Hefner and Senator McFarland. You call the question? The question is, shall...Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Mr. President, I understand the members' real excitement to end all of this. I can simply say the following, that I will simply suggest to you that we have had people speaking in favor of the override but I have so far been the only person in defending the position and that there has not been enough debate on either side of the issue, on both sides of the issue.

PRESIDENT: Okay, I will deny the motion at the time. You are correct, there has only been...there has not been any one supporting your position, so we will continue on for the time being. Senator Hefner, please.

SENATOR HEFNER: Mr. President and members of the body, Senator Bernard-Stevens, you're as sly as a fox. I can't believe that you would substitute this amendment for your other one and then do this, ask for a division of the question. If we're going to allow this, we won't get anything through this session. We won't get anything through this session, because it could take days to get through this one amendment and you also put the President of this body in a very, very embarrassing spot. He had to allow you since Senator Labeledz didn't object and none of the rest of us could object because we didn't know what the amendment was. I think Senator Labeledz acted in good faith. She took your word for it and so here we are. I usually don't vote to overrule the Chair but I am this time because I think it would just be a bad deal. And you can bet your boots that we will not allow any more amendments to be substituted if this is what's going to go on. So, Senator Bernard-Stevens, I would ask that you withdraw this amendment.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator McFarland, please, followed by Senator Moore and Senator Nelson.

SENATOR MCFARLAND: Thank you, Mr. President. To me, this is not so much a matter of proper interpretation of the rules as it is a proper application of senatorial courtesy. It seems to me that Senator Labeledz has been very courteous to the people who