

one occasion, because I have been here 13 years, I have always voted with a division of the question, but not to this point. Had Bernard-Stevens had the decency to come up to me and tell me what he was going to do, I would have objected to the substitute motion. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Landis, please, on the division of the question.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, my guess is that cooler heads can prevail here if we just talk about this and see if we can't lump some of these together and act appropriately. There are some concepts in here. I have just been looking at it. Apparently, there is a substitution, a parent for an adult family member. That seems to me a very significant conceptual difference. Then there seems to be the requirement of the use of a counselor to assist people with this decision, and that, too, seems to be a new addition and one worth considering. I think there are some sensible divisions of the question in here, and certainly the Chair should be able to decide whether an issue is divisible or not. Perhaps once we exonerate the right of the Chair to divide the question, perhaps there can be some clumping up to a place where a sensible amount of work load is before us. On the other hand, this does introduce a new element, a new precedent that we haven't followed, and I support looking at this amendment in some detail.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Lamb, please.

SENATOR LAMB: Well, Mr. President, and members, I, of course, rise to support the motion to overrule the Chair, not so much the issue, but because of the procedures that we are following here. This is a new filibuster procedure that has been introduced here. I feel comfortable in making that statement, and we can all do those sorts of things on any issue. It doesn't take a genius to do that sort of thing. I guess the question we should ask ourselves at this point, is it worth the cost, because the cost is a certain amount of credibility of the people that do this and, of course, of the Legislature as a whole. It is a procedure I don't think that should be followed. It is one that degenerates the legislative body as a whole I think and is not a proper way to continue to operate. Now, if this happens, then, of course, we will have to have a whole new series of rules which deal with division of the question. We