

SENATOR LANDIS: The Smith amendment is appropriate. It is a refinement of a process, but it is amending a process that is meant to get around a social harm and that is violence against young women which occurs when they are forced...when they are forced to tell their parents about these situations. In other words, while there is a whole body of young people out there who could tell their parents with no problem, there is a body of young people who suffers dire consequences. LB 769 places those people at risk, and while Senator Smith's amendment is a good one and should be adopted, it is necessitated by an attempt to mitigate this effect of 769, to put young people at risk in some situations and, for that reason, although the Smith amendment is appropriate and should be adopted, we should remember that what it does is to simply highlight the fact that 769, in some cases, means violence against young people.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Labeledz, please, followed by Senator Bernard-Stevens, and Senator Nelson. Senator Labeledz, please.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Smith did talk to me about this amendment that was substituted for the one that was last year. It is entirely different and is not included in the Minnesota law, and I have very serious qualms about it but I will support it. In Minnesota, it never has been used but Senator Smith seems to be very serious and very anxious for this amendment to be attached to LB 769, so I will be supporting it, Senator Smith.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Bernard-Stevens, please.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator Smith, would you yield to a question?

PRESIDENT: Senator Smith, please.

SENATOR SMITH: Yes.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Jacky, I just want to clarify, since it has been a whole year since we have discussed this particular matter, when we talk about physician, attending physician, that is defined how?

SENATOR SMITH: What do you mean, how is it defined? Who the