

brief. Senator Smith wanted me to kind of clear something up. What it says is that we're going to offer some of the services that a high school district has that a Class I affiliates with, they're going to be able to ask for some of the services that they are not presently receiving, and that school district is supposed to bring those services out. Okay. But if they are already contracting with a service unit, and if they are already working with a service unit, that Class I, I would assume that those are part of their budget costs right now, and that should stay the same. There shouldn't be any...there shouldn't be any change there as far as their budget costs if they're already contracting. If they are not contracting with a service unit, and it brings extra pupils in there, when they want to contract for services that the service unit offers to the high school, that service unit charges on the basis of per pupil...on the basis of pupils. So there would be an additional cost at that point, because there would be more pupils brought in. That additional cost would be spread over the affiliated levy when those students were brought in. Senator Smith, if you have a question, go ahead.

PRESIDENT: Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Okay. Just want to make sure this is in the record. In other words, if you have a district which is presently contracting and paying fee for these kinds of services, these will become a part of the overall cost of the whole budget, which will be shared by the whole district then, after...

SENATOR BAACK: Yes, they would be, yes.

SENATOR SMITH: Okay, that's what I wanted to have clear in my mind.

SENATOR BAACK: Yes, they would be.

SENATOR SMITH: Okay, thank you.

SENATOR BAACK: I think, with that, I'd just urge adoption of the amendment. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Okay. The question is the adoption of the Baack-Withem amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.